	Case 2:20-cv-00027-KJM-AC Documen	t 13 Filed 10/20/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	DONNELLY L. THOMPSON,	No. 2:20-cv-0027 KJM AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	GONZALEZ, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed this civil rights action seeking relief	
18	under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. The matter was referred to a United States Magistrate Judge as provided	
19	by 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Local Rule 302.	
20	On September 17, 20021, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which	
21	were served on plaintiff and which contained notice to plaintiff that any objections to the findings	
22	and recommendations were to be filed within fourteen days. ECF No. 12. Plaintiff has not filed	
23	objections to the findings and recommendations.	
24	Although it appears from the file that plaintiff's copy of the findings and	
25	recommendations was returned, plaintiff was properly served. It is the plaintiff's responsibility to	
26	keep the court apprised of his current address at all times. Under Local Rule 182(f), service of	
27	documents at the record address of the party is fully effective.	
28	///// 1	
		1

## Case 2:20-cv-00027-KJM-AC Document 13 Filed 10/20/21 Page 2 of 2

The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. *See Orand v. United States*, 602 F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed de novo. *See Robbins v. Carey*, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court . . . ."). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be supported by the record and by the proper analysis.

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that:

- 1. The findings and recommendations filed September 17, 2021, ECF No. 12, are adopted in full.
- 2. The complaint is dismissed for failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the June 17, 2021 Screening Order (ECF No. 10). *See* L.R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.
  - 3. The Clerk of the Court is directed to close this case.

14 DATED: October 19, 2021.

CHIEF UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE